

Transformation of socioeconomic metabolism due to development of the bioeconomy: the case of northern Aube (France)

Pauline Marty, Sabrina Dermine-Brullot, Sophie Madelrieux, Julie Fleuet,

Philippe Lescoat

▶ To cite this version:

Pauline Marty, Sabrina Dermine-Brullot, Sophie Madelrieux, Julie Fleuet, Philippe Lescoat. Transformation of socioeconomic metabolism due to development of the bioeconomy: the case of northern Aube (France). European Planning Studies, 2021, 30 (7), pp.1 - 18. 10.1080/09654313.2021.1889475 . hal-03263050

HAL Id: hal-03263050 https://utt.hal.science/hal-03263050

Submitted on 2 Dec 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés. 1 Transformation of socioeconomic metabolism due to development of the

2 bioeconomy: the case of northern Aube (France)

- 3 Pauline Marty*, CREIDD, InSyTe, Université de Technologie Troyes, France
- 4 Sabrina Dermine-Brullot, CREIDD, InSyTe, Université de Technologie Troyes, France
- 5 Sophie Madelrieux, Univ. Grenoble Alpes, INRAE, LESSEM, France
- 6 Julie Fleuet, CREIDD, InSyTe, Université de Technologie Troyes, France
- 7 Philippe Lescoat, AgroParisTech INRAE UMR SADAPT, Université Paris Saclay, France
- 8 *Corresponding author: Pauline Marty (pauline.marty@utt.fr)

9 Abstract

10 This article presents results of an ongoing research project on production and allocation of biomass of 11 agricultural origin (BAO), a key resource in ecological and energy transitions. The production and 12 allocation of BAO are changing under the current development of the bioeconomy, which is the 13 narrative promoted for intensifying the use of BAO, that we question through the lens of the scientific 14 paradigm of bioeconomics. We developed a metabolic approach to agriculture, that we applied to the 15 case study of northern Aube (France), an area specialized in intensive crop farming, undergoing rapid 16 development of agricultural biogas production. Our results indicate that the ongoing changes influence 17 BAO production and allocation at several scales (farm, small collective of farms, value chain, territorial). 18 Development of the bioeconomy strongly influences the socioeconomic metabolism of the territory's 19 agriculture. Diversion of BAO flows due to biogas production are increasing structural imbalances and 20 have some negative impacts on flows and ecological or economic funds strategic for sustainability, 21 agronomic and economic balances of agricultural activities at multiple scales and as a whole. The 22 changes described are especially disruptive since they strengthen competition and have blocking 23 effects for the existing and potential agricultural metabolism.

- 24 Keywords: agricultural metabolism, socioeconomic metabolism, agricultural biogas, bioeconomy
- 25 Word count (incl. abstract and references): 8 679

26 1-Introduction: current development of the bioeconomy and criticisms

27 of it

Biomass of agricultural origin (BAO) faces the triple challenge of providing food and energy while 28 29 minimizing environmental impacts. Global demand for food and feed is estimated to increase by 70% 30 from 2010 to 2050 (Garot, 2015), and concern is growing about impacts of food and feed quality, 31 mostly on human and animal health. BAO is also expected to reduce dependence on fossil fuels. For 32 the energy transition in France, for example, renewable energy sources are expected to provide 32% 33 of total energy consumption by 2030, with half of it coming from biomass, but renewable sources provided only 10.7% in 2017 (CGED, 2019). In addition, agriculture must reduce its greenhouse gas 34 35 emissions drastically (19% of French emissions in 2018; Haut Conseil pour le Climat, 2020), even though 36 farming practices rely heavily on fossil fuels (Harchaoui, Chatzimpiros, 2018) and the energy density of 37 BAO is debated (Smil, 2015). Finally, agricultural production has environmental impacts on 38 biodiversity, water and soil quality, especially when it becomes specialized at the territorial scale 39 (Carmona and al., 2020). Simultaneously, agriculture is expected to increase soil carbon capture 40 (Pellerin *et al.*, 2019) and strengthen overall sustainability (by diversifying and connecting of several 41 types of agricultural production at the territorial scale (Montoya et al., 2019; Gaba, Bretagnolle, 2020)). 42 Moreover, the growing utility of biochemistry and biomaterials to BAO (Nieddu, Vivien, 2015) could 43 increase the overall demand for BAO and thus change how it is allocated among uses.

44 This new focus on BAO as a resource that needs to be used more efficiently is a key feature of the 45 institutional definition of the bioeconomy (Pahun et al., 2018). In this vision, public policies at the 46 European level (European Commission, 2012) and national level in France (Ministère de 47 l'Environnement et de l'Energie, 2018) perceive BAO as essential for the energy transition. The growing 48 demand of several economic sectors for biomass raises challenges for this resource, and creates a 49 plethora of public-policy programs, which leads to a complex landscape for collective decisions about 50 allocation of BAO (CGAER, 2019). In France, BAO use is strategically organized at local scales through 51 regional public projects that encourage public and private actors, especially energy production 52 facilities, to increase the use of biomass (SNMB, 2018). Furthermore, biomass is perceived as a key 53 element in circular economy strategies, which is another strong narrative in public incentives. At the 54 European level, the bioeconomy, understood as the (circular) economic sector that relies on production and use of biomass, is claimed to be a new path for sustainability (EEA, 2018). In France, 55 56 well-reasoned articulation of BAO uses is described as being essential for a relevant circular economy 57 (SNMB, 2018).

The dominant narrative that supports development of the bioeconomy and the belief that the latter will contribute positively to the energy transition faces several criticisms (Giampietro *et al.*, 2009). The rythms of renewal of resources cannot be accelerated and are inconsistent with intensification of production processes. Circularity implies dissipation of energy and concentration unwanted molecules due to multiple iterations of recycling. In addition, the bioeconomy cannot escape the Jevons paradox (i.e. rebound effect) (Alcott, 2005).

64 From an operational standpoint, developing the bioeconomy in territories implies tensions among 65 individual strategies, common interest and benefits. Territorial-level transitions in production and 66 allocation of BAO can be considered a result of (1) public incentives to develop the bioeconomy and 67 (2) the search for new economic opportunities for farmers to strengthen their activity. However, these 68 transitions imply changes in production and allocation of BAO that could jeopardize territorial 69 agriculture as a whole. Uncertainties and potential weaknesses appear at individual and 70 collective/territorial scales that aggravate both short- and long-term issues. They need to be examined 71 because they are currently not well known or perceived collectively by agricultural actors, and the 72 objectives of the bioeconomy seldom consider them.

Public policies and private strategies demand a significant increase in BAO production (and thus an increase in environmental impacts) and its use in a wide variety of economic sectors. However, there is no integrated vision at the regional/local scale for collective planning of BAO production and use. This leads to conflicting incentives and prevents thorough investigation of the true circularity of BAO flows and their dynamics at the territorial scale, which needs to consider interrelations between value chains and their local features.

On these grounds, our study aims to test the utility and operationalization of a metabolic approach at the territorial scale to examine and understand past transformation of the socioeconomic metabolism of the agri-food system of a territory, and current transformation related to development of the bioeconomy. We draw on contrasting characteristics of the bioeconomy framework and apply the approach to a French territory: northern Aube.

84 2- Theoretical and operational framework

85 2.1-A bioeconomic approach to the bioeconomy: socioeconomic metabolism and the

86 fund-flow model

This article analyzes the agricultural socioeconomic metabolism of a territory through the scientific paradigm of bioeconomics. Bioeconomy was only recently used as an institutional watchword at the

89 European level (Pahun et al., 2018). Originally, bioeconomics was a scientific paradigm used to study 90 physical and biological foundations of human societies (Gerogescu-Roegen, 1971). Georgescu-Roegen 91 changed the understanding of economic processes by highlighting the unsustainability of economic models based on linear production processes. The latter were defined as incompatible with natural-92 93 resource renewal, the environment's carrying capacity for pollution and social issues. It replaces the traditional cumulative accountability of stocks and flows with a "fund-flow" model. In this model, 94 95 "funds" are long-lasting agents that produce, transform and/or consume "flows" (of matter, energy or 96 information) within the metabolic system through production processes, without being destroyed 97 themselves by these processes (Couix, 2020). The goal is to ensure sustainable use of the funds, 98 especially the ecological ones, that support the many processes necessary to sustain human societies, 99 while considering their functioning and regeneration rhythms, and even to limit the range of the 100 economy to that allowed by the flows (Missemer, 2015). Georgescu-Roegen pleads for re-embedding economic development within planetary limits and, without naming it specifically, for a metabolic 101 102 approach to economy. Georgescu-Roegen's scientific heritage extend in ecological economics, 103 especially in regards to socioeconomic metabolism. According to Pauliuk et al. (2015), "socioeconomic 104 metabolism constitutes the self-reproduction and evolution of the biophysical structures of human 105 society. It comprises those biophysical transformation processes, distribution processes, and flows 106 which are controlled by humans for their purposes". Based on and compatible with bioeconomics, the 107 metabolic approach appears relevant for analyzing the transformation that results from past, current 108 and potential future transitions in the agricultural system. This approach thus goes beyond a single-109 sector and single-scale scientific approach. The fund-flow model developed in bioeconomics can be 110 applied in metabolic approaches, as they are used to connect the economy and ecology of human 111 activities (Madelrieux et al., 2017) at multiple spatial scales and organization levels (Gabriel et al., 112 2020). Different methods are applied, but all rely greatly on accounting for matter and energy flows 113 that support the socioeconomic system's existence and thus its material interactions with the biophysical sphere. In this sense, they are compatible with and applicable within the bioeconomics 114 115 fund-flow model.

116 2.2-Operationalization of the metabolic approach

Based on the literature on agri-food systems, Gabriel et al. (2020) analysed how researchers describe and represent socioeconomic metabolisms. They distinguished eight schools of thought related to three types of representation: (1) space and compartment-based, (2) economic agent-based and (3) multi-faceted and composite. In the first type, metabolism is usually analyzed using statistical databases, at the scales at which they are commonly available (national, regional or, in France, departmental (Courtonne et al., 2016) or for large metropolitan areas (Barles, 2009; Kennedy et al., 123 2015; Athanassiadis et al., 2016; Bahers et al., 2020). However, BAO is produced, allocated and has impacts at smaller scales, which are more difficult to document and characterize. Moreover, this type 124 125 of representation considers actors as a "black box" and often excludes the drivers that explain their 126 decision-making. Nonetheless, most decisions about BAO metabolism are made at smaller scales, at 127 which immaterial aspects of the metabolism (i.e. values that drive decisions and actions, cultural and social embeddedness, and governance) partially shape actors' decisions and ability to act. Therefore, 128 129 we used economic agent-based representation (the second type) to apply this approach to territories 130 smaller than a French department, even though statistical databases at that scale are of uneven quality 131 or do not exist. Moreover, focusing on this scale allowed us to fill a knowledge gap. Indeed, the 132 literature on bioeconomy over the past several years has usually focused on national or international 133 scales, and ignoring the local complexities implied at the local scale except in a few research at the 134 regional scale (Low, Isserman, 2009; Horlings, Mardsen, 2012; Bugge et al, 2016).

The economic-agent based representation allows immaterial aspects to be considered to highlight the power structures in which actors are embedded (Nuhoff-Isakhanyan et al., 2017) and the proximities they rely upon (Dansero et Puttilli, 2014). In this research, we include only the immaterial issues that could illustrate an actor's decisions (e.g. about management of their farm or processing unit, or their production, allocation and exchanges of BAO).

This approach also represents the socioeconomic metabolism of agriculture in an intelligible manner based on the fund-flow model. We distinguish the ecological funds that produce (*e.g.* agricultural land), transform (*e.g.* cattle) or consume (*e.g.* plant growth) BAO from the economic funds that do the same (i.e. farms, agricultural supply chains, processing and storage units). Flows between these funds are material (e.g. BAO, energy, water) or immaterial (e.g. information, money, technical advice).

145 3-Materials and methods

146 3.1-Study area

This article highlights results of an ongoing interdisciplinary research project on BAO at the territorial 147 148 scale (BOAT, "Biomasse d'Origine Agricole dans les Territoires"). The team (land planners, animal 149 scientists, economists, agronomists) from four institutions formed in 2017 to develop a method to 150 describe and analyze BAO metabolism in two contrasting agricultural territories in France – the north of the Aube department (intensive and specialized cereal agriculture) and the Drôme Valley (diversified 151 152 agricultural production and smaller farms) – with a focus on reducing environmental impacts. We focus 153 here on northern Aube, a part of the French Grand Est Region, which identified bioeconomy as a key sector of its "regional DNA" and aimed at developing renewable energy (with biogas as the front one) 154

155 simultaneously with a very ambitious agricultural carbon capture program to mitigate the climate change. Therefore studying the socioeconomic metabolism of Northern Aube is a way to test the 156 157 relevance of these challenges. Northern Aube contains 1 434 farms that are specialized mainly in cash 158 crops or high-value industrial crops (i.e. wheat, sugar beets, potatoes and malt barley), with scattered 159 residual livestock farms. Most agricultural practices are intensive, and the large mean farm size (143 ha in 2010¹ (RGA 2010)) continues to grow as the number of farmers decreases. Our data collection 160 relied on interviews with 45 farmers and 15 key actors from processing industries, farmer cooperatives 161 162 and institutions. The farmers interviewed were identified by representatives of the main value chains 163 (i.e. cereals, beets, alfalfa, field vegetables, "Brie de Meaux" cheese, sheep, pigs, broilers, laying hens 164 and beef cattle), with a diversity of cropping systems and livestock in the farm structure. The 165 percentage of livestock or crop-livestock farmers interviewed (44%) was higher than that in the 166 territory (12% in 2018 (SIRENE), on 7% of the utilized agricultural area in 2010 (RGA 2010)), since our study also focused on relations between livestock and crop value chains. The sample included farms 167 168 specialized in cash or industrial crops, which represent 84% of farms in the territory (on 91% of the 169 utilized agricultural area). At 234 ha in 2010 (RPG, 2014), these farms are much larger than average. 170 Nonetheless, we carefully chose which farmers of cash and industrial crops to interview to ensure that the sample represented practices well. The 15 key actors we interviewed worked for the main 171 agricultural cooperatives or private firms that manage the collection and marketing of these crops, as 172 173 well as official representatives of agricultural or public local authorities. Overall, our sample covers the 174 types of production and practices on nearly 98% of north Aube's utilized agricultural area due to its 175 narrow agricultural diversity.

176 3.2- Survey to capture transformation of the metabolism due to introduction of the

177 bioeconomy

We used a survey to understand the metabolism of BAO and its transformation due to development 178 of the bioeconomy. The goal of the interviews with farmers was to identify, specify and characterize 179 180 the connections of their agricultural activity, especially material flows, to the rest of the socioeconomic system. The first set of questions focused on farm organization (e.g. types of production, crop rotation, 181 182 machines). Next, each material flows that entered or left the farm, or flowed from one activity to another on the farm, was described quantitatively (e.g. type of product, volume) and qualitatively (e.g. 183 184 role in the farming system, quality, issuer or receiver of the flow, influence on the farm's organization 185 of human and mechanical labor). Then, the immaterial aspects (i.e. embeddedness of these flows was

¹ The mean farm size including champagne viticulture was 125 hectares in 2010, but we excluded champagne viticulture farms from our study because their extreme specificity – small (*i.e.* 10-20 ha) and huge added value per ha – made it difficult to compare their results to other agricultural activities in the territory.

186 addressed: monetary dimension (if present), type of commercial relationship with the issuer or 187 receiver of the flow, and perception of this relationship (chosen, necessary or tolerated). We then 188 addressed all immaterial flows and links that had not been described previously, such as technical or 189 agricultural advice, group meetings about agricultural activities and participation in agricultural 190 organizations. By including the immaterial aspects, we consider that these connections contribute to 191 shape the agricultural metabolism. Finally, we asked a set of more open questions about the farmer's 192 perception of the farm's activity, past evolution, and future, individually and within the territory's 193 current dynamics; his/her ability to help govern agricultural organizations; and his/her overall 194 perception of the dependence or autonomy of his/her farm management decisions.

The goal of the interviews with key actors of agricultural organizations was to describe more accurately current dynamics of the main agricultural value chains of the territory: the material flows they managed, their functioning (technically, commercially or governance-wise), the main problems they faced, and the public support, regulations or incentives that may influence their current and future actions.

200 We represented the metabolism at multiple scales (individual farm, small collective of farmers, value 201 chain, multiple value chains, and territory). Our goal was to analyze metabolic links between funds 202 (economic and ecological) to identify (1) flows and funds coined as 'strategic', meaning they are crucial 203 for renewing funds and (2) funds that play a pivotal role in the metabolism (i.e. that lie at the 204 confluence of several flows, and whose disappearance would change the metabolism's shape greatly). 205 We focused on these funds and flows because the interviewees considered them problematic (e.g. 206 competition for access to a flow critical to a farm's existence), the research team considered them 207 problematic for sustainability (e.g. decreasing soil fertility), or either group considered that they 208 increased the sustainability of an agricultural activity or the territory (e.g. flows between farms that 209 conserve soil fertility).

On this basis, we analyzed the stability of the circulation of flows and how variation in or the disappearance of flows or funds reconfigure it. This allowed us to analyze past and current changes in the socioeconomic metabolism of agriculture in northern Aube. We then extrapolated the impacts of the current transformation to contemplate possible future scenarios.

214 4-Results

The economic funds identified were common (e.g. sugar or starch refineries, alfalfa and sugar beet pulp dehydration units, biofuel production from rapeseed), but a strong emerging fund was highlighted: biogas plants fed mostly with BOA. Ecological funds were connected mostly to soil fertility and livestock herds. From the interactions with the farmers and actors interviewed, a important
 example of unbalanced dynamics of territorial metabolism is the expected growth in biogas
 production. Therefore, we focused on understanding the territorial metabolism in connection with this
 growth.

222 The actors interviewed manage fund such as industrial facilities for storage and the first processing 223 stage. However, development of the territory's bioeconomy is characterized by the recent growth of 224 a new fund, anaerobic digestion projects to produce agricultural biogas (individually or by collectives), 225 while a fund previously developed, like biofuel production based on rapeseed, is currently jeopardized 226 by public policy changes and agronomic issues. Regional public authorities strongly support these 227 biogas projects through general incentives for green energy development and subsidies, encouraged 228 by natural gas distributors (who offer contract prices for 10-15 years), and farmers and their unions 229 see these projects as a way to diversify revenue and securing opportunity (Berthe et al., 2018). 230 Anaerobic digestion is easy to develop in the territory since BAO, such as sugar beet pulp and 231 intermediate crops, is widely produced there, although its availability is debatable, as discussed later. 232 This new fund influences the current metabolism of BAO, first by changing production and agricultural practices, and then by reorganizing value chains and their exchanges of flows. It creates a new 233 landscape of flow allocation, with new competition and synergies. 234

4.1. Understanding the past transformation of the socioeconomic metabolism ofagriculture at the territorial scale

237 4.1.1. An imbalance in flows produced that weakens the sustainability of agriculture

238 Most of the utilized agricultural area is devoted to grain and industrial crops, with relatively few farms 239 that produce livestock, since their percentage decreased tremendously from 1988 (44%) to 2010 (11%) 240 (RGA, 1988, 2010). This implies a strong dependence of the territory on external supplies of flows such 241 as organic fertilizers, much of which is currently imported from other French territories or even abroad 242 (especially poultry manure and sanitized compost from the Netherlands). This imbalance partially 243 prevents circular exchanges of organic fertilizers from being established or maintained at the local 244 scale, which appear critical for strengthening the territory's sustainability. From 1960-1990, integrated crop-livestock systems shifted to crop-only systems for two reasons. First, the poor rendzina and 245 246 limestone soils of northern Aube, which previously supported only extensive sheep production, could 247 be exploited easily by mechanical agriculture and a supply of external inputs (e.g. manure, clay), thus 248 becoming a rich soil that allowed for regional specialization in grain and industrial crops. This transformation was accompanied by the emergence of large agricultural organizations that 249 250 encouraged production of crops and the industries needed to collect, market and process them. At the same time, farms expanded in size (by a mean of 50 ha from 1988-2010 (RGA)) and mechanical equipment to adapt to this specialization. Second, from the farmer's viewpoint, abandoning livestock production was presented as a way to increase revenue and ease farm organization, since grain and industrial crops are less time consuming throughout the year than livestock production. Northern Aube now faces a path-dependence situation, with a dominant agricultural system composed of large, wellequipped farms, specialized in grain and industrial crops, dependent on imports and deeply integrated with processing industries that incidentally employ many people (600 in 2015 (INSEE)).

258 This evolution of agricultural activities in northern Aube provided an upside for farmers who 259 abandoned livestock production, but led to certain weaknesses at the territorial scale (Lasseur et al., 260 2019). First, it implied and increased a substantial lack of some flows – local organic nitrogen and 261 organic matter production – and a strong dependence on external organic fertilizers, which need to be 262 imported into the territory. Most BAO produced in the territory is exported as grain or industrial crops 263 and their products. Second, this dominant path of high-yield crop farming progressively homogenized 264 the agricultural landscape and decreased biodiversity. Third, population and employment density 265 decreased in the territory. This has been reported as a current challenge for farmers' quality of life and 266 adds to concerns about "young farmers willing to take over" farms in the territory, in a national context 267 in which farm succession appears more challenging than ever (Coly, 2020).

268 4.1.2. Flows critical for circularity and competition for access to them

The increase in competition for access to certain BAO flows is not limited to the flows influenced by the development of biogas production. Instead, we observed that some flows critical for circular exchanges were experiencing strong competition, especially by more recent agricultural practices such as organic farming, which is not allowed to use synthetic fertilizers. This competition could have a "blocking" effect, especially for manure: since crop farmers need organic fertilizers, but livestock production in northern Aube is marginal, local manure is subject to strong competition between organic farmers and potentially with other high-value-added chains.

276 Ruminant manure is usually used directly on the farm that produced it, although we observed a few 277 direct straw-manure exchanges between farms, but only at a local scale (mostly with neighbors or 278 family members), through non-monetary exchanges. Other examples of circulation this flow observed 279 highlight crop farmers' demand, which drives them to set up relationships or activities that are unusual 280 in the current context of high-yield industrial farming. We highlight the example of a crop farmer who often scrapes out his neighbor's stalls and "gets paid in manure", or another who plows and sows the 281 282 fields of a livestock farmer in exchange for manure. These labor-manure exchanges are non-monetary 283 and embedded in long and often neighborly collaborative relationships between farmers.

284 Poultry manure circulates more widely outside of poultry farms because most of them produce more 285 manure than they need for their crops. We observe monetary exchanges of straw-manure via 286 corporate connections (agricultural service companies), due in part to farm diversification in northern 287 Aube. Exchanges occurred particularly through the development of hired labor and equipment, when 288 farmers started private companies to plow, sow, harvest or perform other cropping activities. These 289 agricultural service companies generate profits using increasingly expensive equipment and enable 290 other farms to grow in size by externalizing the workforce and equipment. They also often offer to 291 scrape out and transport manure, since they have the appropriate equipment, which is sometimes too 292 expensive for individual farmers to own. In this way, the companies collect manure and sometimes 293 add field fertilization to their services as a strong differentiating strategy, which encourages them to 294 collect even more manure.

295 The competition is especially strong for organic poultry manure. Although organic crops are expanding 296 less in northern Aube than in other parts of France, organic manure is vital to their economic balance. 297 Organic manure is so profitable that farmers who produce it but do not use all of it themselves would 298 rather sell it than spread it on their non-organic fields. A national ban was even passed to prevent 299 spreading of organic manure on non-organic fields, to protect the resource for the development of 300 organic crops. When available on the local market, this flow is the subject of strong competition, as 301 shown by the example of two organic crop farmers that secured their manure supply by setting up 10-302 year contracts (that fix quantities and prices) with all of the organic poultry farms in the territory. They 303 do not know "what the other farmers who are going organic are going to do", because they "secured 304 all the manure for 50 km around".

If this dominant regime of crop-livestock imbalance does not change, competition over manure as a flow critical for the soil fertility fund will remain strong. Thus, the lack of a strategic flow appears to be another weight on the structural weaknesses of the agricultural metabolism. In addition, it impedes development of agricultural practices such as organic farming and decreases the overall sustainability of the metabolism and its contribution to the ecological transition.

4.2. The metabolic approach to highlight funds and flows impacted by the bioeconomy

311 development

The territory has approximately 20 agricultural biogas plants (using anaerobic digestion) in operation or planned, a number that increased greatly in the past two years due to strong public policies and the active involvement of energy companies. Some of these plants rely in part on manure, but most of them rely primarily on crops, with the addition of agro-industrial by- and co-products. The development of this new fund changes the allocation of BAO flows and their related land-use. These

changes are occurring in a complex context, especially for the production of grain and industrial crops. 317 Indeed, the future is uncertain for some of the territory's main crops, first due to effects of climate 318 319 change. Northern Aube usually benefits from a sufficient amount and distribution of rainfall but has 320 recently experienced drought and a changing rainfall distribution. Since 2010, which had especially 321 high spring temperatures and summer rainfall events, yields of wheat and barley (which together cover more than 33% of the utilized agricultural area) have often decreased by 50%, when a bad harvest in 322 323 previous years was a decrease of only 20-30%. Another high-value crop, potatoes, requires irrigation 324 to reach a profitable yield in northern Aube and thus might compete for water. Second, farmers are 325 facing a failure of the high-yield crop system, with increasing frequency of agronomic dead-ends, in 326 which a crop can no longer be grown on some fields because pest resistance has developed or certain 327 pesticides are prohibited. This is the case for rapeseed, whose production is declining, and soon could 328 be the case for other crops. Third, sugar beet (13% of the utilized agricultural area) is facing significant turmoil in its market and industrial processing and value chains. Northern Aube has two sugar 329 330 refineries, one owned by a local cooperative, the other by a cooperative corporation that now operates 331 globally. This corporation's investment in sugar cane production and processing in Brazil – a competing 332 market – over the last decade raised even more concerns when the European Union abandoned quotas 333 and fixed prices for sugar beet in 2016. Sugar beet prices are no longer protected and, like wheat, face 334 the turmoil of global markets and cannot compete against sugar cane. Agricultural biogas is developing 335 in this uncertain context and adds to the ongoing changes in agricultural metabolism.

336 4.2.1. Intermediate crops for digesters: flow diversion and changes in crop rotations

337 The recent development of agricultural biogas in northern Aube, and incentives for it to expand, 338 whether from public authorities, agricultural actors or natural gas distributors, implies two types of diversions of existing flows that could strongly influence the agricultural metabolism and its 339 340 sustainability over time. First, a large proportion of intermediate crops² is harvested to feed existing digesters, and relatively little is left on the soil. Second, after France established a regulatory limit of 341 342 using no more than 15% of main crops in digesters in 2016, we observed a statistically significant increase in the growing time of intermediate crops at the expense of that of main crops. For example, 343 344 wheat (9-10 months per field) is being replaced in rotations by malting barley (6 months per field). However, a current practice in northern Aube is to harvest an immature cereal, considered as the main 345 346 culture, and then to sow maize, which qualifies as an intermediate crop, all of which can be harvested 347 as silage and fed to digesters. As intermediate crops become more valuable than main crops, cropping

² To mitigate nitrate pollution, the most recent regulations encourage planting intermediate crops (sometimes nitrogen-fixing cover crops, in particular) or impose them in nitrate-vulnerable zones, as in northern Aube. These crops are planted to cover the soil between two main crops. They do not reach full maturity, are supposed to decrease nitrate leaching and/or fertilize the soil, and benefit biodiversity.

practices and crop rotations are changing, and flows of BAO that return to the soil are decreasing.
Furthermore, the current development of maize in northern Aube could require more irrigation and
thus induce water shortages never observed before.

351 At the individual scale, however, building digesters (individual or collective) is attractive. First 352 financially, because each one ensures revenue for 10-15 years via a contract with a natural gas 353 distributor that fixes an annual volume and purchase price. Each currently provides, in less than 10 354 years, revenue greater than the initial investment in the digester. Energy crops may also provide higher 355 or at least more stable yield than grain or industrial crops, and the revenue generated is not influenced 356 by instability in global market prices for at least 10 years. Thus, farmers seek out agricultural biogas 357 production and set up collectives to build and feed digesters. Although the farmers were concerned 358 about maintaining carbon in the soil (and the potential of digestate fertilization to do so), they were 359 more interested in the increase in revenue, which they often mentioned as a way to set up new 360 activities on the farm and strengthen its existence.

Second, the changes in crop rotations have complex effects on the workforce and quality of life, since they lead to changes in practices, pesticide use, and sometimes equipment, but energy crops and their associated (new) main crop also appear more secure than the previous ones. Operating a biogas plant requires new skills for the workforce, but farmers involve engineering firms that specialize in them. Furthermore, each digester in the territory relies on an employee workforce. The increase in quality of life compared to that with livestock production is clear for the farmers, even though "a digester is like a flock - when the alarm goes off at 2 a.m., one has to get out of bed".

At this individual (or "small collective") scale, benefits appear clearly in the short term, but raise awareness and concerns for the long term. Financially, even if the revenue from the 10-15-year price contract covers the initial investment, little is currently known about the cost of maintenance during this period. Moreover, now that digesters have reorganized metabolic links and shaped a meaningful portion of the crop production in the territory, the current trends of technical innovations in energy production suggest that they could rapidly become under-scaled and uncompetitive.

Other concerns appear at the value-chain scale. The development of agricultural biogas production will divert BAO flows and increase abandonment of crops on which most agro-industries of the territory rely heavily. First, it means that the flows collected and processed by these economic funds may decrease, thus decreasing their profitability. Second, it raises concerns about a potential decrease in soil fertility, an ecological fund which is a structural weakness of the agricultural metabolism of northern Aube. Admittedly, digestate is spread, which returns some carbon and nitrogen to productive land, but not always on the fields on which the input crop grew, since digestate can be transported only over short distances, unlike input crops for anaerobic digestion. The fertilizing potential ofdigestate in the middle or long term is also uncertain.

383 4.2.2. Allocating existing flows to digesters at the territorial scale: the issue of availability

384 This type of change in crop rotations implies reorganization of farmers' metabolic links and, at the 385 territorial scale, changes the allocation of productive soil and the BAO produced. In particular, certain by- and co-products that were used before development of the bioeconomy but not in particularly 386 387 high demand are currently essential to the agronomic and economic balance of some digesters. We 388 observed two digesters that rely on inputs besides energy or intermediate crops. The first is a digester 389 set up by a multi-product regional cooperative that uses waste from crop collection and storage. The 390 second is a collective digester set up by a few pig farmers that uses pig manure as the main input, and 391 whose digestate is used as fertilizer. These digesters require relatively few energy crops and use mostly by- and co-products from a nearby paper mill and a few vegetable processing industries. This cascading 392 393 use of biomass is praised in the dominant narrative of the bioeconomy, and it does benefit all parties 394 at the cooperative or small-collective scale and strengthen the existence of the associated production 395 (pigs) or activity (industrial processing of crops and wood), both financially and from an environmental 396 viewpoint. At the territorial scale, however, these developments imply that the digesters divert flows 397 within the territory, which no longer remain available for other uses, including other digesters. No other industrial actor in the territory can provide the same flows; meanwhile, competition for 398 399 anaerobic digestion inputs is intensifying, meaning that new digesters will rely more heavily on energy 400 and intermediate crops, thus reinforcing the detrimental effects on the agricultural metabolism 401 described previously. Finally, it should be noted that this evolution implies diverting flows from food 402 to energy.

403 4.2.3. Impacts of agricultural biogas development on other flows and economic funds at the

404 territorial scale: an example of entanglement

Since metabolic links are reorganized at a small scale, impacts on the overall sustainability of agriculture occur, particularly through entanglement with value chains and industrial facilities. The most salient examples of an associated detrimental impact of the increase in the number of digesters is its destabilizing impact first on alfalfa production and use and second on sugar beet pulp use (fig. 1), by a connection through the local feed market and industrial dehydrators.

410 [fig. 1 is to be inserted around here]

Figure 1. Flows (arrows) and economic funds (boxes) entanglement for biogas, sugar, animal feed anddehydration value chains at the territorial scale

413

Alfalfa has been produced in northern Aube since the 1970s, for two main reasons: it provides nitrogen 414 to the soil (as a legume) and is a protein-rich animal feed. Alfalfa is thus part of crop rotations for 415 416 livestock farmers, but also for crop-only farmers, and provides stable revenue. Indeed, the current 417 program of the Common Agricultural Policy subsidizes alfalfa for its agronomic advantages. In addition, 418 three long-established local dehydrator plants, owned by the local cooperative, helped to stock, 419 market and export dried alfalfa. While livestock farmers mostly use their alfalfa on-farm, dehydration 420 is critical to alfalfa's profitability for crop farmers. However, the economic stability of those 421 dehydrators relies mainly on sugar beet pulp, which is an abundant by-product of sugar refineries 422 within and near the territory. Pressed sugar beet pulp is sought for feed since it can replace maize in 423 ruminant rations, and sugar beet farmers who have livestock recover nearly 30% of the pulp produced 424 by the cooperative's sugar refinery. Since pressed sugar beet pulp is full of moisture and expensive to 425 transport and store, the cooperative built the dehydrators in the 1970s to store and market dried sugar 426 beet pulp for feed outside the territory. The dehydrators dried 227000 t of sugar beet pulp and 212000 427 t of local alfalfa in 2019, a dehydration capacity that is critical to their economic attractiveness for 428 farmers. However, the recent development of biogas plants increases competition for access to sugar 429 beet pulp, especially since digestion is particularly appealing to sugar beet farmers who had no other 430 use for their pulp.

431 We observed an increase in the use of sugar beet pulp as a biogas input, which raises concerns about 432 the potential of large amounts of it to be diverted from the dehydrator and thus endanger the latter's 433 economic balance. The huge energy consumption of the dehydrators, powered by coal imported from 434 South Africa, is another concern, but the decrease in input appears more critical to farmers and 435 cooperative officers in the short term, because it could cause them to lose money and close. If this were to happen, alfalfa would disappear from crop farmers' rotations, which could increase risks to 436 soil fertility, and the territory would lose approximately 100 jobs that the dehydrator currently 437 438 provides. We also observed another effect of the ability of agricultural biogas to divert BAO: a rare but 439 increasing use of alfalfa as a biogas input. In this case, alfalfa is diverted from the dehydrator and feed market but remains in crop rotations. 440

Another indirect effect of digesters in the territory revolves around the use of pressed sugar beet pulp as feed. Historically, sugar beet farmers had a legal "right to the pulp", meaning that the cooperative owned only the juices obtained from pressing the sugar beets it bought, while the farmers remained owners of the pulp. Legally and financially, this right disappeared in 2016 (the cooperative now buys the sugar beets whole, and the farmers lose ownership of them), but persists informally as a preferential commercial measure by which farmers can buy their share of pressed pulp at cost. Livestock farmers who produce sugar beets benefit from this measure, which ensures that they have easy access to good-quality and inexpensive feed. The increasing competition for sugar beet pulp
caused by the development of anaerobic digestion could place this access in jeopardy, since it is no
longer protected by law or cooperative bylaws.

Although development of anaerobic digestion is profitable at the individual scale, it raises issues at the value chain and territory scales, especially because it leads to diversion of flows and reorganization of metabolic links at the system scale by reallocating productive land and the use of BAO. These changes influence and increase already known risks for the current stability and balances of the agricultural metabolism, as well as for the existence of value chains and economic funds critical for maintaining them.

457 5-Discussion

458 The goal of this article is to test the utility and operationalization of a metabolic approach at the 459 territorial scale to understand the transformation of the socioeconomic metabolism of the agri-food 460 system of a territory in the past and its current transformation related to the development of the 461 bioeconomy, especially biogas production. The increase in biogas production in northern Aube has 462 reallocated BOA flows between existing ecological and economic funds. These shifts strengthen 463 imbalances inherited from past evolutions of agriculture and raise concerns about the maintenance of 464 crucial funds (e.g. soil fertility, processing machinery essential to local value chains) and the availability 465 of strategic flows (e.g. organic fertilizers). The impacts occur through entanglement of flows in different value chains at the territorial scale. 466

The metabolic approach applied reveals that the current choices of BAO production and allocation at the territorial scale may deeply transform the socioeconomic metabolism of the territory's agricultural system. These choices can lead to conflicts and competition between flows and between funds, disrupting the current shape of the agricultural metabolism or, in contrast, strengthen the existing balance through synergies and circularities. These effects, disruptive or reinforcing, can be seen at the individual and collective (territorial) scales. This approach thus lays the groundwork for contemplating future scenarios of the socioeconomic metabolism of agriculture in northern Aube.

From an operational standpoint, using bioeconomics to illustrate development of the bioeconomy allowed us to show actors in the territory some effects of the entanglement of their BAO allocation choices and use of existing industrial facilities. We are currently presenting these results in workshops with farmers and agricultural officials, which will lead to a participative foresight study on the future of agriculture in northern Aube. The first workshop revealed that this integrated vision of effects of 479 development of the bioeconomy has strengths and weaknesses that often elude actors, who seem480 eager to understand it.

From a scientific standpoint, impacts of these changes and choices are usually analyzed using tools that focus on one or more environmental criteria (e.g. carbon emissions, carbon storage, eutrophication) or economic criteria (*e.g.* economic growth, revenue). Moreover, most studies focus on a single scale (e.g. farm/individual, value chain, territory), thus excluding interactions between scales in which positive or negative impacts can influence one scale or another.

486 From our point of view, traditional approaches raise two problems. First, the inherent complexity of 487 agricultural systems, which are socio-technical systems, calls for a systemic analysis that can integrate 488 this complexity rather than a mono-criterion (or a few criteria) and mono-scale analysis. Our current 489 research lays the foundation for a systemic analysis framework that can assess the sustainability of 490 agricultural metabolism and integrate a variety of environmental, social and economic footprints, such 491 as the agriculture environmental footprint (Courtonne et al., 2016) or energy and nutrient footprint 492 (Fernandez-Mena et al, 2016; Harchaoui, Chatzimpiros, 2018). Our ongoing work will enable us to 493 include the energy and nutrient footprint in the description of the socioeconomic metabolism of 494 agriculture and will be the subject of a future article. Second, decisions that result from these 495 approaches, which may enhance or address a transition, do not consider actors' abilities to act or 496 change. On this latter point, our results raise the pressing issues of territorial governance and territorial 497 capability (i.e. the capacity of territorial actors to decide their own future), especially given the current 498 embeddedness of their activities and value chains in local and global economic power structures. This 499 raises questions about the links of financial and economic decision power that connect the territory's 500 agricultural metabolism and its evolution to the global scale.

501 Finally, as mentioned, databases of material flows at this local scale do not exist or are of uneven 502 quality; thus, our research could not include all material flows or immaterial aspects of the metabolism. 503 We could examine only the parts of the socioeconomic metabolism that we accessed through field 504 investigation, which is why we emphasize that this research is not an exhaustive description of a 505 territory's agricultural metabolism *per se*, but a metabolic *approach* to it. In our view, the main limits 506 of our approach come from the sample of actors interviewed. First, the overrepresentation of livestock 507 farmers could be a bias, but it allowed us to focus on the strategic flow of organic fertilizers and 508 potential circularities in BAO flows; nonetheless, the crop farmers in the sample represent the overall 509 diversity of crop farms and their practices in the territory. Second, we excluded vineyards, even though 510 the more diversity of agricultural products a territory contains, the more potential the latter has for 511 synergies and circularities of flows.

512 Nonetheless, this metabolic approach appears adequate to try to illustrate possible scenarios for the 513 future of the agricultural metabolism of northern Aube. Two scenarios can be envisioned. The first is 514 built on weak signals of development of sheep farmers who organize their flocks' grazing of other 515 farmers' intermediate crops and rapeseed at a stage of growth that allows the crops to regrow 516 afterwards. This circularity of matter has positive impacts for both parties, mainly fertilization of crops 517 by animal waste in situ, which saves time and labor, in exchange for a free supply of forage for the 518 flock in spring. It also weeds the crop, mitigates some pests and can decrease pesticide use. Even 519 though this circular exchange is anecdotal (five cases are known in the territory), it is useful for the 520 sustainability of these farms and the funds they rely upon, and moreover is seen as such by other 521 farmers. Such circularities could be developed further by increasing sheep production in the territory.

522 The second scenario is negative. Based on the most negative effects and the entanglement of value 523 chains, this scenario shows that the increasing use of sugar beet pulp in digesters could cause 524 dehydrators to close. Alfalfa production would remain the same or even increase as an energy crop (to 525 feed digesters), partly reducing the dependence on imported fertilizers. With climate change and its 526 strong impact on yield variability, the emergence of new agronomic dead-ends would impoverish crop 527 rotations, and land allocation would shift to a few high-value-added crops that need large amounts of 528 water, thus jeopardizing agricultural revenue, and increase negative effects of intensive crop 529 agriculture on funds such as biodiversity and water. If beet sugar prices were also to decrease due to 530 competition of with cane sugar, sugar refineries in the territory could close as well. Sugar beets would 531 be used directly in digesters and thus not be processed. Like the agricultural features, the agro-532 industrial landscape of northern Aube would change, experiencing crop specialization that would 533 provide energy instead of food, with probable areas of marginal diversification (whether chosen or imposed). Furthermore, to maintain biogas production in the territory directly (by local inputs) or 534 indirectly (by importing inputs), local actors would have to import BAO from other territories (whether 535 536 fertilizers or crops), thus exporting the local imbalance to other territories and potentially adding to 537 their own weaknesses and threats. This dangerous scenario highlights the change in allocation of BAO 538 from food and feed to energy, and the little control that farmers collectively have over the future of 539 their agricultural production, market share and the economic and ecological funds their activity relies 540 on.

541 By examining the socioeconomic metabolism of agriculture through the funds and flows that shape it, 542 we highlight that individual choices, analyzed from a transversal viewpoint and at the value-chain or 543 territorial scale, can disturb the agricultural metabolism through competition or conflict, or strengthen 544 its balance with synergies and circularity. It allows for analysis that focuses on strategic flows and funds, which often excludes actors, but could help them collectively shape a more sustainable futurefor their activities and the territory.

547

548 Acknowledgments

- 549 This study was supported by ADEME (Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie), the
- 550 French agency for ecological transition, in its GRAINE program, under grant no. 17-03-C0004.

551 References

- Alcott B., 2005, "Jevons' paradox", *Ecological Economics*, 54(1): 9221.
- 553 Athanassiadis A., Bouillard P., Crawford R.H., Khan A.Z., 2016, "Towards a Dynamic Approach to Urban
- 554 Metabolism: Tracing the Temporal Evolution of Brussels' Urban Metabolism from 1970 to 2010", 555 *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 21(2): 307-319.
- 556 Bahers J.-B., Tanguy A., Pincetl S., 2020, "Metabolic relationships between cities and hinterland: a
- 557 political-industrial ecology of energy metabolism of Saint-Nazaire metropolitan and port area 558 (France)", *Ecological Economics*, 167: 106447
- Barles, S. 2009. "Urban metabolism of Paris and its region", *Journal of Industrial Ecology* 13 (6): 898–
 913.
- Berthe A., Grouiez P., Dupuy L., "Les "upgradings stratégiques" des firmes subordonnées dans les CGV :
 le cas des éleveurs investissant dans la méthanisation", *Revue d'économie industrielle*, 163(3) : 187-
- 563 227.
- Bugge M.M., Hansen T., Klitkou A., 2016, "What is the bioeconomy? A review of the literature", *Sustainability*, 8-691:1-22.
- Carmona C. P., Guerrero I., Peco B., Morales M. B., Onate J. J., Pärt T., Tscharntke T., Liira J., Aavik T.,
 Emmerson M., Berendse F., Ceryngier P., Bretagnolle V., Weisser W. W., Bengtsson J., 2020,
 "Agricultural intensification reduces plant taxonomic and functional diversity across European
- arable systems", *Functional Ecology*, 34(7): 1448-1460.
- 570 CGAAER, 2019, Place des régions dans le développement de la bioéconomie. Rapport CGAAER n° 18109,
 571 110 p.
- 572 CGED, 2019, *Chiffres clés des énergies renouvelables*, Commissariat Général au Développement
 573 Durable, 92 p.
- 574 Coly B., 2020, *Entre transmettre et s'installer, l'avenir de l'agriculture*, Avis pour le the Conseil
 575 Economique Social et Environnemental, 99 p.

- 576 Couix Q., 2020, "Georgescu-Roegen's Flow-Fund Theory of Production in Retrospect", *Ecological* 577 *Economics*, 176: 106749
- 578 Courtonne, J-Y., Alapetite, J., Longaretti, P-Y., Dupré, D., Prados, E., 2015, "Downscaling material flow 579 analysis: the case of the cereal supply chain in France", *Ecological Economics*, 118: 67-80.
- Dansero E, Puttilli M, 2014, "Multiple territorialities of alternative food networks: six cases from
 Piedmont, Italy", *Local Environment* 19: 626–643
- 582 EEA, European Environment Agency, 2018, *The circular economy and the bioeconomy: Partners in* 583 *sustainability*, 60 p.
- European Commission, 2012, *Innovating for Sustainable Growth A Bioeconomy for Europe*,
 Publications Office of the European Union, 60 p.
- 586 Fernandez-Mena H., Nesme T., Pellerin S., 2016, "Towards an Agro-Industrial Ecology: A review of
- nutrient flow modelling and assessment tools in agro-food systems at the local scale", Science of
 The Total Environment, 543: 467–479
- Gaba S., Bretagnolle V., 2020, "Social–ecological experiments to foster agroecological transition",
 People and Nature, 2:317–327
- 591 Gabriel A., Madelrieux S., Lescoat P., in press, "A review of socio-economic metabolism 592 representations and their links to action: cases in agri-food studies", Ecological Economics.
- Garot G., 2015, *Lutte contre le gaspillage alimentaire: proposition pour une politique publique*, Rapport
 au Premier Ministre, 51 p.
- 595 Georgescu-Roegen N., 1971, *The Entropy Law and the Economic Process*, Harvard University Press.
- Giampietro M., Mayumi K., Ramos-Martin J., 2009, "Multi-scale integrated analysis of societal and
 ecosystem metabolism (MuSIASEM): Theoretical concepts and basic rationale", *Energy* 34 (3):
 313¹22.
- Harchaoui S., Chatzimpiros P., 2018, "Energy Nitrogen and Farm Surplus Transitions in Agriculture from
 Historical Data Modeling France, 1882-2013", *Journal of Industrial Ecology*, 23(2): 412-425.
- Haut Conseil pour le Climat, 2020, *Redresser le cap, relancer la transition*, Rapport annuel, 160 p.
- Horlings L.G., Mardsen T.K., 2012, "Exploring the 'New Rural Paradigm' in Europe : eco-economic
- strategies as a counterforce to the global competitiveness agenda", *European Urban and Regional Studies*, 21(1): 4-20.
- 605 INSEE, Institut National de la Statistique et des Etudes Economiques.
- Kennedy C. A., Stewart I., Facchini A., Cersosimo I., Mele R., Chen B., Uda M., Kansal A., Chiu A., Kim K.,
- 607 Dubeux C., Rovere E. L. L., Cunha B., Pincetl S., Keirstead J., Barles S., Pusaka S., Gunawan J., Adegbile
- 608 M., Nazariha M., Hoque S., Marcotullio P.J., Otharán F. G., Genena T., Ibrahim N., Farooqui R.,

- 609 Cervantes G., Sahin A. D., 2015, "Energy and material flows of megacities", PNAS, 112, p. 5985-610 5990.
- Lasseur J., Bonaudo T., Choisis J.-P., Houdart M., Napoléone M., Tichit M., Dedieu B., 2019. "Élevage et
 territoires: quelles interactions et quelles questions ?" In Baumont R. (E d) "De grands défis et des

613 solutions pour l'élevage". INRA Prod. Anim., 32: 189-204

- Low S.A., Isserman A.M., 2009, "Ethanol and the Local Economy: Industry Trends, Location Factors,
 Economic Impacts, and Risks", *Economic Development Quarterly*, 23(1): 71-88.
- 616 Madelrieux S., Buclet N., Lescoat P., Moraine M., 2017 "Écologie et économie des interactions entre
- 617 filières agricoles et territoire : quels concepts et cadre d'analyse ?" *Cahiers Agricultures* 26: 24001
- 618 Magrini M-B., Béfort N., Nieddu M., 2019. "Technological Lock-In and Pathways for Crop Diversification
- in the Bio-Economy", in Lemaire G., De Faccio Carvalho P.S., Kronberg S., Recous S., Agroecosystem
 Diversity: 3752388, Academic Press.
- Missemer A., 2015, Nicholas Georgescu-Roegen, pour une révolution bioéconomique, Feuillets :
 économie politique moderne, ENS Éditions.
- Montoya D., Haegeman B., Gaba S., de Mazancourt C., Bretagnolle V., Loreau M., 2019, "Trade-offs in
 the provisioning and stability of ecosystem services in agroecosystems", *Ecological Applications*,
 29(2):e01853.
- Nieddu M., Vivien F.D., 2015, "La bioraffinerie comme objet transitionnel de la bioéconomie", *Économie rurale*, 4710: 349-350
- Nuhoff-Isakhanyan G., Wubben E.F.M., Omta O.S.W.F., Pascucci S., 2017, "Network structure in
 sustainable agro-industrial parks", *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 141: 1209–1220.
- Pahun J., Fouilleux È., Daviron B., 2018, "De quoi la bioéconomie est-elle le nom? Genèse d'un
 nouveau référentiel d'action publique", *Natures Sciences Sociétés* 26: 3–16
- Pauliuk S., Herwitch E.G., 2015, "Socioeconomic metabolism as paradigm for studying the biophysical
 basis of human society", *Ecological Economics*, 199:83-93
- Pellerin S., Bamière L., Launay C., Martin R., Schiavo M., et al., 2019, "Stocker du carbone dans les sols
 français, quel potentiel au regard de l'objectif 4 pour 1000 et à quel coût?", Synthèse du rapport
- 636 d'étude pour l'Agence de l'Environnement et de la Maîtrise de l'Energie, Paris, 114 p.
- RGA, Recensement Général de l'Agriculture, 1988, 2010, 2000, Ministère de l'Agriculture, Service
 Statistique Public.
- RPG, Registre Parcellaire Graphique, 2014, Institut National de l'Information Géographique etForestière.
- 641 SIRENE, Système national d'identification et du répertoire des entreprises et de leurs établissement,
- 642 2018, Institut National de la Statistique et des Études Économiques

- 643 Smil V., 2015, *Power Density: A Key to Understanding Energy Sources and Uses*, The MIT Press, 320 p.
- 644 SNMB, Stratégie Nationale de Mobilisation de la Biomasse, 2018, Ministère de l'Environnement et de
 645 l'Énergie, Paris, 131 p.